

## **Applications of Single Cell Sequencing: CITE-Seq**

Nathan Wong

**CCBR** 

2019 October 10

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES • National Institutes of Health • National Cancer Institute The Frederick National Laboratory is a Federally Funded Research and Development Center operated by Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc., for the National Cancer Institute

- "<u>Cellular Indexing of Transcriptomes and Epitopes by Sequencing</u>"
- Short definition: Including surface protein antibodies with single cell sequencing
- Purpose: Show that the antibody cell sorting often used prior to single cell sequencing is replicated after sequencing
- Need came up because the single cell transcript data does not always resemble the expected surface protein profile

### CITE-Seq, courtesy of the Satija lab

## nature methods

Brief Communication | Published: 31 July 2017

# Simultaneous epitope and transcriptome measurement in single cells

Marlon Stoeckius <sup>™</sup>, Christoph Hafemeister, William Stephenson, Brian Houck-Loomis, Pratip K Chattopadhyay, Harold Swerdlow, Rahul Satija & Peter Smibert

Nature Methods 14, 865–868 (2017) Download Citation 🚽

## Methodology

 Specific antibodies with sequence tags are bound to cells prior to single cell encapsulation



- Each droplet contains both the cell and the bound antibody tag
- mRNA and antibody oligos hybridize to RT oligos and are indexed with cell barcode
- Standard scRNASeq is performed for mRNAs
- Antibody tags are isolated by size selection



### **CITE-Seq is comparable to initial flow cytometry**

#### Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research



### Antibody-based identification can be projected onto RNA-Seq based clustering

- Initial reference image is processed using "standard" scRNA-Seq protocol
- Labels for this image were assigned by the Satija group based on prior knowledge of the dataset
- CITE-Seq protein markers for this dataset include:
  - CD3, CD4, CD11c, CD14, CD16, CD19 CD34, CD45RA, and CD56



Frederick

Laboratory for Cancer Research

### Antibody-based identification can be projected onto RNA-Seq based clustering

- Top: Protein markers
- Bottom: Associated gene IDs
- Using combined biological knowledge and protein expression allows for the confirmation of individual cell types in scRNA-Seq
- Cell surface markers can confirm the RNA-Seq-based cell typing



#### Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research

## Visualizing protein surface marker binding can confirm cell identities

#### Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research



## Scatter plots and correlation analysis can be used to show "protein vs. protein" and "protein vs. RNA"

 Interest in surface protein expression can show positive, negative, or null correlation

- The previous image for surface protein vs. transcript expression can be indicated with scatter plots as well
  - Note that the gene expression has more zero values than the protein marker



**Frederick** 

Laboratory for Cancer Research

## Protein markers can improve cell typing

- CD4 and CD8 markers are projected for T-cells
- Some cells identified transcriptomically as CD4+ T-cells are being shown in the CD8+ protein marker population
- Biologically, this is not unexpected, because naïve CD4+ T-cells and CD8+ T-cells have similar transcriptomes
- This could have larger effects on downstream analysis without CITE-Seq reinforcement



## Clustering can be performed directly on the protein expression profile

- Many of the analyses performed based on scRNASeq (transcriptome) can be performed based on CITESeq
- The more markers that are used, the better the profiling/clustering that can be extracted





Frederick

Laboratory for Cancer Research

## Clustering between scRNA-Seq and CITE-Seq/protein markers generate similar results

- Cluster positions in this image are based on the protein signal
- The labels on the left are based on the scRNA signal, the labels on the right based on protein signal
- Advantage: The CD4+ and CD8+ T cells are more clearly defined on the protein signal
- Disadvantage: Some cell types with poor/absent markers (e.g. erythrocytes) are lost



**Frederick** 

Laboratory

for Cancer Research

## Surface marker profiles are representative of the cell types

- The ridge plot can show the relative expression of the proteins for each cluster ID
- Based on these results, it would be similar to using FACS in determining cell typing



## "Live" application of CITE-Seq

- Short background: Human PBMCs from 11 different samples
- Interest in CD33 and CD14 as potential surface markers
- The initial transcript based cell-clustering and cell typing with SingleR showed the majority of cells as:
  - B-cells (top)
  - T-cells and NK-cells (bottom left)
  - Monocytes (bottom right)



## CD33 and CD14 are strong correlative markers for monocytes





## CITESeq indicates a discrepancy between transcription and surface protein accessibility

- Protein profiles (left) showed more noticeably "antibody responsive" markers in monocytes
- The difference is less pronounced at the transcription level (right), especially for CD33
- This is even more apparent when observing the scatter plots (insets)



### Frederick National Laboratory

## **CITESeq for identifying sample groups**

- Samples were split into two categories.
- Group 1 showed more definite CD33 surface protein
- CD14 was confirmed as a less readily usable marker in defining the two groups



- CITE-Seq is an excellent tool for integrating traditional antibody cell sorting with scRNA-Seq
- This can help synchronize the FACS results with scRNA-Seq
- Word of warning: Understand the underlying biology
- Per the Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy: Don't Panic

### References



- Seurat Guided Analyses. Satija R, et al. <u>https://satijalab.org/seurat/vignettes.html</u>
- Stoeckius M, Hafemeister C, Stephenson W, Houck-Loomis B, Swerdlow H, Satija R, Smibert P. 2017. <u>Simultaneous measurement of epitopes and transcriptomes in single</u> <u>cells.</u> *Nature Methods*.
- Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R. 2018. <u>Integrating single-cell</u> <u>transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species</u>. *Nature Biotechnology*.
- Stuart T, Satija R. 2019. Integrative single-cell analysis. Nature Reviews Genetics.
- Stuart T\*, Butler A\*, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, Hao Y, Stoeckius M, Smibert P, Satija R. 2019. <u>Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data</u>. *Cell*.